



Citation for published version:

Arestis, P, Charles, A & Fontana, G 2013, 'Financialization, the great recession, and the stratification of the US labor market', *Feminist Economics*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 152-180. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2013.795654>

DOI:

[10.1080/13545701.2013.795654](https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2013.795654)

Publication date:

2013

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2013.795654)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Feminist Economics* on 24/05/2013, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/13545701.2013.795654>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Table 1 Employment trends according to gender and ethnicity 2007Q–2009Q4

Gender and ethnicity in the US labour force		Groups' share in total labour force in 2007 (%)		Employment growth 2007–9 (%)	
		Full time	Part time	Full time	Part time
White	Men	41.21	23.88	-8.34	18.98
	Women	30.36	53.31	-4.41	7.40
Black or African American	Men	5.11	3.10	-12.93	17.09
	Women	5.68	5.59	-7.96	9.19
Asian	Men	2.36	1.27	-4.58	-3.26
	Women	1.89	2.27	-4.40	1.82
Hispanic or Latino	Men	8.46	3.74	-10.71	62.35
	Women	4.92	6.84	-7.61	26.11
		100	100		

Source: CPS (2010) and authors' calculations.

Table 2 Gender earnings gaps (%) in 2007 and 2009 by ethnicity and job tenure

<i>Ethnicity</i>	<i>Full time</i>		<i>Part time</i>	
	<i>2007</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2009</i>
White	20.56	20.83	-8.37	-4.52
Black or African American	11.17	6.28	2.44	12.30
Asian	21.90	18.17	-3.69	3.99
Hispanic or Latino	9.04	10.54	8.22	6.84

Source: CPS (2010) and authors' calculations.

Note: The gender earnings gap represents the difference between men's and women's median weekly earnings expressed as a percentage of median weekly male earnings. Equal earnings are therefore represented by a gender-earning gap equal to 0.

Table 3 Long-run relationships and short-run dynamics of earnings between occupations (VECM1)

<i>Long-run relations:</i>	
<i>Coint. equation VECM1</i>	$manfin = -406 + 0.70_{0.20*} prof + 1.75_{0.45*} service$
<i>Short-run dynamics:</i>	
<i>VECM1:</i>	
$\Delta manfin = -761 + 0.08_{219.95*} \Delta manfin_{t-1} - 0.22_{0.20} \Delta prof_{t-1} - 0.77_{0.53**} \Delta service_{t-1} + 0.59_{0.12*} sales + 0.22_{0.12*} constr + 0.55_{0.37**} farm - 0.63_{0.16*} EC1$	
<i>Adj. R-sq.: 0.45</i>	

Notes: Standard errors are below the coefficients with * and ** representing a coefficient significant at the 5 and 10 percent, respectively.

Lagrange-multiplier test for serial correlation of residuals

	Lag	LM-stat	Prob
<i>VECM1</i>	1	10.95	0.28
	2	11.66	0.23

Residual white heteroskedasticity test
Joint test

Chi-square	Prob
<i>VECM1</i> 92.49	0.25

Note: The Lagrange multiplier test, up to four lags, tests the null hypothesis of no serial correlation of the residuals at lag order from one and two. Probs from chi-square represents the probability of estimating a Lagrange multiplier test greater than the observed value under the null hypothesis. The white heteroskedasticity test assesses the null hypothesis of no heteroskedasticity or (no misspecification) of the residuals, where the nonconstant regressors should not be jointly significant.

Table 4 Long-run relationships and short-run dynamics of earnings in managerial and financial occupations between demographic groups (VECM2a and VECM2b)

<i>Long-run relations:</i>	
<i>Coint. equation VECM2a</i>	$wm = -1851 + 4.44bm - 0.89hm$ 0.82* 0.60**
<i>Coint. equation VECM2b</i>	$wm = -450 + 1.04wf + 0.08bf + 1.12hf$ 0.21* 0.20 0.34*
<i>Short-run dynamics:</i>	
<i>VECM2a:</i>	
$\Delta wm = 24 - 0.46\Delta wm_{t-1} + 0.19\Delta bm_{t-1} + 0.15\Delta hm_{t-1} - 0.08EC2a$ 9.63* 0.21* 0.26 0.13 0.06**	
<i>Adj. R-sq.: 0.18</i>	
<i>VECM2b:</i>	
$\Delta wm = 0.41\Delta wm_{t-1} + 0.38\Delta wm_{t-2} - 1.11\Delta wf_{t-1} - 1.11\Delta wf_{t-2} - 0.21\Delta bf_{t-1} - 0.42\Delta bf_{t-2} - 0.34\Delta hf_{t-1} - 0.23\Delta hf_{t-2} - 0.88EC2b$ 0.41 0.37 0.98 0.80** 0.19 0.27** 0.37 0.34 0.38*	
<i>Adj. R-sq.: 0.26</i>	

Note: Standard errors are below each coefficient with * and ** representing a coefficient significant at the 5 and 10 percent, respectively.

Lagrangean-multiplier Test for Serial Correlation of Residuals			
	Lag	LM-stat	Prob
<i>VECM2a</i>	1	7.11	0.62
	2	7.33	0.60
<i>VECM2b</i>	1	19.40	0.25
	2	12.65	0.70

Residual white Heteroskedasticity Test		
Joint test		
Chi-square		Prob
<i>VECM2a</i>	59.41	0.12
<i>VECM2b</i>	184.80	0.39

Notes: The Lagrange multiplier test, up to four lags, tests the null hypothesis of no serial correlation of the residuals at lag order from one and two. Probs from chi-square represents the probability of estimating a Lagrange multiplier test greater than the observed value under the null hypothesis. The white heteroskedasticity test assesses the null hypothesis of no heteroskedasticity or (no misspecification) of the residuals, where the nonconstant regressors should not be jointly significant.

Table 5 Long-run relationships and short-run dynamics of earnings in all occupations between demographic groups (VECM3a and VECM3b)

<i>Long-run relations:</i>	
<i>Coint. equation VECM3a:</i>	$wm = 542 + 0.95bm - 0.49hm$ 0.68** 0.90
<i>Coint. equation VECM3b:</i>	$wm = 42 + 0.86wf + 0.21hf$ 0.22* 0.48
<i>Short-run dynamics:</i>	
<i>VECM3a:</i>	
$\Delta wm = -0.28\Delta wm_{t-1} - 0.15\Delta wm_{t-2} + 0.14\Delta bm_{t-1} - 0.41\Delta bm_{t-2} + 1.16\Delta hm_{t-1} + 0.64\Delta hm_{t-2} - 0.23EC3a$	
0.26 0.23 0.41 0.45 0.57* 0.46** 0.08*	
Adj. R-sq.: 0.22	
<i>VECM3b:</i>	
$\Delta wm = -0.08\Delta wm_{t-1} + 0.01\Delta wf_{t-1} + 0.40\Delta hf_{t-1} + 0.13bf - 0.39EC3b$	
0.27 0.77 0.45 0.06* 0.20*	
Adj. R-sq. : 0.14	

Notes: Standard errors are below each coefficient with * and ** representing a coefficient significant at 5 and 10 percent, respectively.

Lagrange-multiplier test for serial correlation of residuals			
	Lag	LM-stat	Prob
VECM3a	1	8.28	0.50
	2	8.50	0.48
VECM3b	1	7.56	0.58
	2	9.12	0.43

Residual white heteroskedasticity test		
Joint test		
Chi-square		Prob
VECM3a	71.08	0.84
VECM3b	72.73	0.12

Notes: The Lagrange multiplier test, up to four lags, tests the null hypothesis of no serial correlation of the residuals at lag order from one and two. Probs from chi-square represents the probability of estimating a Lagrange multiplier test greater than the observed value under the null hypothesis. The white heteroskedasticity test assesses the null hypothesis of no heteroskedasticity or (no misspecification) of the residuals, where the nonconstant regressors should not be jointly significant.